

📕 🗙 📕 👪 📲 👅 🎘 📗

### **Demonstration of ship-based carbon capture on LNG fuelled ships**

Webinar, June 25<sup>th</sup> 2024, Introduction

Marco Linders, TNO, Project Coordinator (marco.linders@tno.nl)



The EverLoNG project is funded through the ACT programme (Accelerating CCS Technologies, Horizon2020 Project No 691712). Financial contributions have been made by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy, the Netherlands; The Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action, Germany; the Research Council of Norway; the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, UK; and the U.S. Department of Energy. All funders are gratefully acknowledged.

## Agenda

- 12:00 Welcome & housekeeping
- 12:05 CO<sub>2</sub> capture demonstration onboard SEAPEAK ARWA: Juliana Monteiro & Jasper Ros
- 12:45 Q&A
- 13:00 End





## **Objectives**

Objective of EverLoNG is to accelerate the implementation of Ship Based Carbon Capture (SBCC) technology by:

(i) demonstrating SBCC on-board of LNG-fuelled ships;

(ii) optimising SBCC integration to the existing ship infrastructure;

(iii) facilitating the development of SBCC-based full CCUS chains;

(iv) facilitating the regulatory framework for the technology.

 $\rightarrow$  Today we focus on the demonstration of carbon capture onboard a ship



#### **Partners** TNO CO2 SOLUTIONS **CONOSHIP** INTERNATIONAL **VDL AEC Maritime** TotalEnergies MAN Energy Solutions (MAN) JÜLICH HEEREMA Forschungszentrum akp Lloyd's Register .os Alamos BUREAU VERITAS NATIONAL LABORATORY — EST.1943 ——— SCCS **NexantECA** DNV **ANTHONY VEDER** www.everlongccus.eu | 4

## Acknowledgement

• ACT funding partners



Supported by:



Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag



## The Research Council of Norway



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero



Ministerie van Economische Zaken en Klimaat





## Ever LoNG

### **= X = # # \*** \* III

### **WP1 Demonstration updates**

Campaign onboard the Seapeak Arwa LNG carrier

Jasper Ros and Juliana Monteiro, TNO



The EverLoNG project is funded through the ACT programme (Accelerating CCS Technologies, Horizon2020 Project No 691712). Financial contributions have been made by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy, the Netherlands; The Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action, Germany; the Research Council of Norway; the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, UK; and the U.S. Department of Energy. All funders are gratefully acknowledged.

## This webinar will cover

- Overview of the demonstration campaign
- Calculated CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates
- Operation stability and effect of ship motion
- Exhaust gas measurements
  - NO<sub>2</sub> content
  - Emissions of amine and ammonia



Solvent degradation

## **The Seapeak Arwa**



Seapeak Arwa LNG tanker, chartered by TotalEnergies

#### Additional information:

- Main engines:
  - 3 x Wartsila 12V50DF (4-stroke)
  - 1 x Wartsila 6L50DF (4-stroke)
- Power 39.9 MW
- Year of build: 2008
- Length: 286 m
- Beam: 43.4 m
- Draught: 12.1 m
- LNG: 163.285 m<sup>3</sup>

Carbon capture system was connected to AE2, LNG fuelled engine, running on boil-off gas

## The EverLoNG prototype



- CO<sub>2</sub> capture with 30 wt% MEA
- CO<sub>2</sub> drying and liquefaction
- CO<sub>2</sub> storage at 15 bara, -27.7°C





## The campaign

Duration: 22-10-2023 until 03-02-2024 (2475 hours)

• This campaign focused on the capture system

Solvent of choice: 30wt% aqueous solution of mono-ethanolamine (MEA) First-generation solvent, well described and understood

#### Data available for evaluation:

- 1. EverLoNG prototype  $\rightarrow$  All sensors
- 2. Exhaust gas  $\rightarrow$  Continuous emission data on outlet of capture system (FTIR)
- 3. Ship data  $\rightarrow$  Motion, engine load, wind speed etc.
- 4. Solvent  $\rightarrow$  Comprehensive sample analysis



## **Overview of operation**





Mode	Time (hours)	Time (%)
Operational	1539	62.2
Offline	936	37.8

Offline: LNG offloading, engine maintenance, non-availability of operators, prototype operational issues, etc.

**Engine load histogram during campaign** 



## **Solvent concentration**

1<sup>st</sup> month, approx. 400 h, unit was in operation with 7% MEA concentration 2<sup>nd</sup> month, approx. 500 h unit was in operation with 17% MEA concentration Last part of onboard testing, approx. 600 h, unit was in operation with 30% MEA concentration.

The differences in concentration have effect on CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate, which was monitored continuously



## **Solvent concentration**



# CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate





## **Calculating the CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate**



#### Two methods:

- 1. Exhaust gas side: CO<sub>2,in</sub> CO<sub>2,out</sub>
- 2. Solvent side: CO<sub>2,rich</sub> CO<sub>2,lean</sub>

## CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate (exhaust gas side, method 1)





Assuming a stable inlet CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 4.78 vol%

CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate (solvent side, method 2)



## Summary of CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate calculations

	Method	CO <sub>2</sub> captured, kg/h	CO <sub>2</sub> captured, %
<b>16-18 wt%</b>	1. Gas side	6.9 - 7.9	46.8 - 54.6
	2. Liquid side	7.0 - 7.6	48.1 – 52.9

Good agreement in CO<sub>2</sub> mass balances Average capture rate for 17wt% MEA: ca. 50%

	Method	CO <sub>2</sub> captured, kg/h	CO <sub>2</sub> captured, %	Bad agreement in CO <sub>2</sub>
<b>3</b> 0 wt%	1. Gas side	12.0 – 13.9	85.1 - 88.5	mass balances Average capture rate for 30wt% MEA: ca. 85%
	2. Liquid side	7.3 – 10.9	51.8 - 65.8	



Sources of uncertainty: gas flowrate (not measured directly, but correlated from blower curves); CO<sub>2</sub> content in inlet gas (measured once, assumed constant); liquid flowrates (daily averages used); FTIR accuracy; liquid analysis (only 6 data points available so far, will be increased)

## Operation stability and effect of ship motion



### **Operation stability – process parameters**





Stable operation during the campaign exemplified by temperatures around heat exchanger, and liquid levels in the sumps of the absorber, desorber and water wash

- ✓ Reliable process control system
- ✓ Robust technology

## **Effect of motion**

#### Ship sea movement or rolling evaluated throughout the campaign Periods with strong wind speed (up to Beaufort 10, or above 24.5 m/s)











Ship motions had **no measurable effect** on CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate or other process parameters

# Exhaust gas measurements NO<sub>2</sub>







NO<sub>2</sub> reacts with amines causing oxidative degradation (affects costs and system performance)



NO<sub>2</sub> reacts with secondary amines, forming nitrosamines (safety concern)





Secondary amin

Example: piperazine (present in the CESAR1 solvent)

## NO<sub>2</sub> emissions as a function of engine load



Clear correlation between NO<sub>2</sub> concentration and the engine load

NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the range of 100-400 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> (outlet of capture plant)

In land-based systems, amines are typically exposed to 2-5 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub> (inlet of capture plant)



## NO<sub>2</sub> emissions as a function of engine load



NO<sub>2</sub> measurements (FTIR measurement) follows the engine load (ship data) closely, showing the consistency between the ship's data and the FTIR measurements

## What to do?

- Solvent choice: consider avoiding secondary amines
- Consider NOx emissions reduction (far beyond IMO requirements)
- Evaluate if cost and safety consequences can be accepted



## Exhaust gas measurements MEA and ammonia



## **MEA** emissions



## MEA emissions (30 wt% MEA section)



Some engine load changes seems to cause emissions

## **MEA emissions (during load change)**



Very high amine emissions observed during rapid load change of main engine  $\rightarrow$  indicates aerosol emissions could be present - Amine emissions are probably not acceptable in this range  $\rightarrow$  In full-scale system, temporary bypass could be a solution

Note: ambient air was sucked through the capture system when engine was offline

## **Ammonia emissions**



(J

Ammonia emissions at design MEA concentration (30 wt%) are high relative to other campaigns, and indicate high solvent degradation rates. To be quantified further with solvent analysis

## Solvent Degradation



## **Solvent concentration**



10 10 Wt/0 = 30 Wt/0

## **Estimated solvent loss – comparison with literature**



High degradation rate, but not off the charts

#### **EverLoNG flue gas:** O<sub>2</sub> concentration: 11.6 vol% NO<sub>2</sub> concentration: 69.2 ppm

**TCM CHP flue gas:** O<sub>2</sub> concentration: 14 vol% NO<sub>2</sub> concentration: 0.5 ppm

## **Comparison against TCM campaign**

Test Center Mongstad (TCM, Norway)

One of the largest post-combustion  $CO_2$  capture test centres in the world. Flue gas streams of up to 60,000 Sm<sup>3</sup>/h (ca. 400 times larger than EverLoNG prototype)

Campaign:

July to October 2015

30wt% MEA

3.5% CO<sub>2</sub>

203233333		Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
	CrossMark	ScienceDirect
ELSEVIER		Energy Procedia 114 (2017) 1245 - 1262

eDirect

13th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, GHGT-13, 14-18 November 2016, Lausanne, Switzerland

Degradation and Emission Results of Amine Plant Operations from MEA Testing at the CO2 Technology Centre Mongstad

Anne Kolstad Morken<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Steinar Pedersen<sup>b</sup>, Eirik Romslo Kleppe<sup>a</sup>, Armin Wisthaler<sup>d</sup>, Kai Vernstad<sup>e</sup>, Øyvind Ullestad<sup>a,b</sup>, Nina Enaasen Flø<sup>a</sup>, Leila Faramarzia,b, Espen Steinseth Hamborga,b

> 4 CO2 Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM DA), 5954 Mongstad, Norway <sup>b</sup>Statoil ASA, PO Box 8500, 4035 Stavanger, Norway 6 Gassnova SF, Dokkvegen 10, 3920 Porsgrunn, Norway <sup>d</sup>University of Oslo, Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 1033 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway "Sintef Materialer og Kjemi, Avd Bioteknologi, Sem Sælands vei 2, 7034 Trondheim, Norway

#### Abstract

In 2015, the CO<sub>2</sub> Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM DA), operated a test campaign using aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) solvent at 30 wt%. The main objective was to demonstrate and document the performance of the TCM DA Amine Plant located in Mongstad, Norway. This paper will present several aspects concerning degradation of the solvent and atmospheric emissions from amine based CO2 removal processes. The work aims to; (1) quantify the amounts and compositions of the degraded solvent (2) report results from atmospheric emissions measurements of amines and amine based degradation products; and (3) present Ambient Air measurement done during a 2 month campaign.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) Peer-review under responsibility of the organizing committee of GHGT-13.

Keywords: Monoethanolamine, MEA, Amine, Emission, Degradation, CO2-capture

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +47 56 34 52 20 E-mail address: akmo@tcmda.com



## **Main degradation products - HEPO**



Secondary amine group

HN OH

www.everlongccus.eu | 38

TCM data from Morken et al., 2017

## Main degradation products – HEA, MEA-urea, HEI



TCM data from Morken et al., 2017

## **Main degradation products – acids**



These products seem to accumulate at a higher rate than at TCM – indication of higher oxidative degradation



TCM data approximated from graphs presented in Morken et al., 2017

## Main degradation products – nitrite and nitrate



Nitrite behaviour at 5-7wt% MEA: to be confirmed

Nitrate accumulates at lower rate than TCM

TCM data approximated from graphs presented in Morken et al., 2017

## Conclusions

Successful demonstration of SBCC at TRL8, during 2475 hours. Stable operation, no measurable effect of ship motion

Average CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate at design solvent concentration: 79% (up to 88.5%)

• Uncertainties in calculations: carefully consider sensors needed to monitor capture rate in full-scale implementations!

Solvent degradation rate higher than most pilot operations in literature. Consequences on solvent lifetime and logistics of solvent replacement will be further evaluated within EverLoNG

 NO<sub>2</sub> content – point of concern (verify for other engines and ships and consider in design)







## Thank you for listening

Juliana Monteiro, Juliana.Monteiro@tno.nl Jasper Ros, jasper.ros@tno.nl

### 

info@everlongccus.eu

@everlongccus